Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,802	SOHN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CLINTON BROOKS	1621
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>CLINTON BROOKS</u> .	(3)	
(2) Richard Chinn.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 July 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: □ No		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection of record.		
Claims discussed: Claims 1, claims 8-9		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In the interview Examiner asked Dr. Chinn to amend claim 1 to include the limitations from claims 8 and 9, and to differentiate the regulating valves to a first and second valve and modify the antecedent basis of "the pressure" in claim 1. Dr. Chinn gave the Examiner permission to make these amendments		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)